

Expansion of Hong Kong International Airport into a Three-Runway System

Pilot Test Report on Silt Curtain Efficiency

August 2017

Mott MacDonald 20/F AIA Kowloon Tower Landmark East 100 How Ming Street Kwun Tong Kowloon Hong Kong

T +852 2828 5757 F +852 2827 1823 mottmac.hk

Expansion of Hong Kong International Airport into a Three-Runway System

Pilot Test Report on Silt Curtain Efficiency

August 2017

This Pilot Test Report on Silt Curtain Efficiency has been reviewed and certified by

the Environmental Team Leader (ETL) in accordance with

Condition 2.15 (ii) and (iii) of Environmental Permit No. EP-489/2014.

Certified by:

m

Terence Kong Environmental Team Leader (ETL) Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited

Date

5 September 2017



AECOM 8/F, Grand Central Plaza, Tower 2, +852 2317 7609 fax 138 Shatin Rural Committee Road, Shatin, Hong Kong 香港新界沙田鄉事會路 138 號新城 市中央廣場第2座8樓 www.aecom.com

+852 3922 9000 tel

Our Ref : 60440482/C/JCHL170905

By Email

Airport Authority Hong Kong HKIA Tower, 1 Sky Plaza Road Hong Kong International Airport Lantau, Hong Kong

Attn: Mr. Lawrence TSUI, Principle Manager

5 September 2017

Dear Sir,

Contract No. 3102 3RS Independent Environmental Checker Consultancy Services

Pilot Test Report for Silt Curtain Efficiency

Reference is made to the Environmental Team's submission of Pilot Test Report for Silt Curtain Efficiency under Condition 2.15(ii) and (iii) of the Environmental Permit No. EP-489/2014 certified by the ET Leader on 5 September 2017.

We would like to inform you that we have no adverse comment on the captioned submission. Therefore we write to verify the captioned submission in accordance with the requirement stipulated in Condition 1.9 of EP-489/2014.

Should you have any query, please feel free to contact the undersigned at 3922 9376.

Yours faithfully, AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.

Jackel Law Independent Environmental Checker

Contents

1	Introduction	1
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Objectives	1
2	Silt Curtain Pilot Test	2
	2.1 Pilot Test Requirements	2
	2.2 Pilot Test Location	2
	2.3 Monitoring Regime	3
3	Analysis of Pilot Test Results	5
	3.1 Approach for Determination of Silt Curta	ain Efficiency 5
	3.2 Baseline Results	5
	3.3 Silt Curtain Efficiency	5
4	Conclusion	7
Apr	andicas	ç
Ahh	Jenuices	c.
A.	Record of Diver Inspections	ç
R	Calibration Certificates	10
υ.	Calibration Octimentes	
C.	Pilot Test Photographs	11
D.	Pilot Test Results	12
Tab		
Tab	DIES	,
Tabl	le 2-2: Coordinates of Monitoring Stations	4
Tabl	le 3-1: Summary of Silt Curtain Efficiency	e
Figu	ures	
Figu	re 2.1: Location of Silt Curtain Pilot Test	3

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

Under the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance, the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report (Register No.: AEIAR-185/2014) prepared for the "Expansion of Hong Kong International Airport into a Three-Runway System" (the 3RS Project) has been approved by the Environmental Protection Department (EPD), and an Environmental Permit (Permit No.: EP-489/2014) has been issued for the project.

Silt curtains have been recommended in the approved EIA report as a mitigation measure for suspended solids (SS) associated with marine construction activities of the project. A Silt Curtain Deployment Plan (SCDP) was published in accordance with the EP to present the requirements for implementation of the silt curtains for various marine construction activities of the 3RS Project. The EP also specified the requirement for a pilot test on silt curtain system to confirm the efficiency of the silt curtain system. Details of these requirements are presented in Section 5 of the SCDP.

This report presents the findings from the pilot test on silt curtain efficiency conducted for the project.

1.2 Objectives

As specified in EP Clause 2.15(ii) and (iii), a pilot test on silt curtain system shall be conducted during the early stage of construction to confirm the silt curtain removal efficiency, and this pilot test shall be conducted during the highest current speed condition, covering both flood and ebb tides, and include measurement of current speed and direction, turbidity and SS.

The objectives of the pilot test on silt curtain system include the following:

- To determine and confirm the silt curtain efficiency of the double layer floating type silt curtains proposed for the 3RS Project with comparison to the relevant assumptions of the EIA Report
- To apply the pilot test results with an aim to optimize the installation, workmanship and operation of the silt curtains to achieve effectiveness

It should be noted that regardless of the measured efficiency of the silt curtain system, the event and action plan as part of the environmental monitoring and audit (EM&A) requirements of the project should only be based on the monitoring results at the designated stationary monitoring stations as specified in the Updated EM&A Manual.

1.3 Structure of this Report

Following this introductory section, this pilot test report is structured as follows:

- Section 2 Silt Curtain Pilot Test
- Section 3 Analysis of Pilot Test Results
- Section 4 Conclusion

2 Silt Curtain Pilot Test

2.1 Pilot Test Requirements

In accordance with the requirements specified in the SCDP, the pilot test was conducted on the floating 'Type III' silt curtain proposed for marine filling works. The pilot test was conducted over a period of five days, with one sampling day for obtaining baseline conditions beforehand and subsequently three sampling days for silt curtain efficiency testing. EPD was notified prior to commencement of the pilot test.

Baseline monitoring for the pilot test was conducted on 31 May 2017, while silt curtain efficiency testing was conducted on 2 June 2017 to 4 June 2017. Monitoring was conducted during the highest current speed conditions (mid-ebb tide and mid-flood tide respectively). The period of mid-ebb and mid-flood tide on each monitoring day is shown in **Table 2-1**.

Monitoring Day	Mid-Point Ebb Tide	Mid-Point Flood Tide	Mid-Ebb Tide	Mid-Flood Tide
31 May 2017	17:15	10:15	15:30 - 19:00	08:30 - 12:00
2 June 2017	08:15	13:15	06:30 - 10:00	11:30 - 15:00
3 June 2017	09:15	14:45	07:30 - 11:00	13:00 - 16:30
4 June 2017	10:00	16:00	08:15 - 11:45	14:15 - 17:45

Table 2-1: Mid-Ebb / Mid-Flood Tidal Period

Note: Tidal information based on predicted tides at Chek Lap Kok tidal station from Hong Kong Observatory Mid-ebb / mid-flood = within ± 1.75 hour of the predicted time (of the 'mid-point') for ebb and flood tide respectively (as specified in the Updated EM&A Manual)

Prior to the pilot test, a diver survey was undertaken by the Contractor to check and confirm the silt curtain is in good condition. Any unsatisfactory items identified were rectified before commencement of the pilot test each day. The diver inspection checklists are provided in **Appendix A**.

During the silt curtain efficiency testing, a trial simulating actual marine filling works using sand fill was actively conducted within the works areas protected by the silt curtains, with a productivity of approximately 150 m^3 /hr for a period of 4 hours during each tide.

2.2 Pilot Test Location

The pilot test was conducted for a fully deployed trial section (approx. 200 m in length) of the enhanced floating double-layer 'Type III' silt curtain. The location of the pilot test is shown in **Figure 2.1**.



Figure 2.1: Location of Silt Curtain Pilot Test

Monitoring locations A1, A2 and A3 were located inside the marine works area, spaced 50 m apart and <100 m from the silt curtain boundary. Monitoring locations B1, B2 and B3 were located outside the marine works area, spaced 50 m apart and <50 m from the silt curtain boundary. The coordinate locations of the monitoring stations are shown in **Table 2-2**.

Insie	de Marine Works	Area	Outside Marine Works Area				
Station	Station Easting Northing			Easting	Northing		
A1	806671	820639	B1	806637	820520		
A2	806720	820624	B2	806686	820507		
A3	806767	820610	B3	806734	820494		

Table 2-2: Coordinates of Monitoring Stations

2.3 Monitoring Regime

Monitoring procedures followed the requirements specified in Section 5 of the SCDP.

Measurements were taken four times (twice during mid-flood tide and twice during mid-ebb tide) each day, with the interval between consecutive samples no less than one hour. The water depth at all monitoring stations was >6 m, hence duplicate samples were taken at three depths (at 1m below surface, at mid-depth, and at 1m above bottom) at each location. The total number of samples per tide per day was:

2 replicates x 3 depths x 6 stations x 2 monitoring events = 72 samples per tide per day

The overall number of samples taken was:

72 samples/tide x 2 tides/day x 4 days (baseline + pilot test) = 576 samples

Parameters measured in-situ at each monitoring station included water depth, current speed, current direction, and turbidity, while SS was determined in the laboratory using the APHA 2540D method. The monitoring equipment adopted are as follows:

Water Depth / Current Speed and Direction – Sontek HydroSurveyor

Turbidity Meter - YSI 6920 V2

Water Sampler – Transparent PVC cylinder with a positive latching system and messenger. High density polythene bottles for storing the water samples.

Other relevant data was also recorded, including monitoring location, time, tidal stages, weather conditions, sea conditions and any special phenomena and work underway in the vicinity. All insitu monitoring instruments were checked, calibrated and certified by a HOKLAS-accredited laboratory before use. The calibration certificates are presented in **Appendix B**.

Photos of the pilot test are shown in **Appendix C**. The full results of the pilot test are provided in **Appendix D**.

3 Analysis of Pilot Test Results

3.1 Approach for Determination of Silt Curtain Efficiency

Silt curtain efficiency is measured as the relative difference between SS concentrations 'inside the marine works area' and those taken 'outside the marine works area' on either side of the silt curtain. The following equation is adopted to determine silt curtain efficiency:

Silt Curtain Efficiency (%) = $\frac{Inside SS - Outside SS}{Inside SS} \times 100\%$

'Inside SS' is determined by averaging the SS concentrations at individual monitoring stations inside the marine works area (i.e. the average of A1, A2 and A3). 'Outside SS' is determined by averaging the SS concentrations at monitoring stations outside the marine works area (i.e. B1, B2 and B3).

The silt curtain efficiency equation is applied to the averaged SS results for each monitoring event, rather than to individual locations inside and outside the silt curtain. This provides a measure of the overall efficiency of the silt curtain system on 'a per monitoring event' basis, rather than trying to relate a specific monitoring location inside the silt curtain with a corresponding location outside the silt curtain.

The intermediate (daily-average) efficiency is determined by averaging the calculated efficiency results for the two monitoring events (per tide) per day. The overall silt curtain efficiency of the silt curtain system is the average of the three daily silt curtain efficiency results obtained during the pilot test period.

3.2 Baseline Results

Baseline monitoring for the pilot test was conducted on 31 May 2017. The weather and sea conditions were cloudy and moderate. No special phenomena, construction activities or marine traffic movements occurred in the vicinity during the baseline monitoring.

During ebb tide, baseline SS concentrations ranged from 3 to 9 mg/L, with an average of 6 mg/L. During flood tide, SS concentrations ranged from <2 to 19 mg/L, with an average of 5 mg/L. Overall, baseline SS concentrations were found to be low, hence the ambient SS in the marine environment is considered to have a negligible effect on the validity of the calculation for silt curtain efficiency and is thus not factored into the calculation.

3.3 Silt Curtain Efficiency

Silt curtain efficiency monitoring was conducted between 2 June and 4 June 2017. The weather and sea conditions were cloudy and moderate, except on 2 June 2017 when the sea conditions were rough. No special phenomena, construction activities or marine traffic movements occurred in the vicinity during the silt curtain efficiency monitoring.

With reference to **Figure 2.1**, silt curtain efficiency is calculated from ebb tide results only. Based on the ebb tide results, the calculated silt curtain efficiencies are summarised in **Table 3-1**, which shows that the daily average efficiencies of the silt curtain range from 82.3% to 95.9% over the three-day testing period, with an overall efficiency of 87.4%. The full calculated results are provided in **Appendix D**.

Test Date	Monitoring	Silt Curtain Efficiency (%)					
	Event	Average of each monitoring round	Daily-average	Overall-average			
2 June	1 st round	82.0					
	2 nd round	82.6	82.3	07.4			
3 June	1 st round	89.3	04.4				
	2 nd round	78.9	84.1	87.4			
4 June	1 st round	96.1	05.0				
	2 nd round	95.6	95.9				

Table 3-1: Summary of Silt Curtain Efficiency

Compared to the EIA assumption of 61% silt curtain efficiency for floating double layer silt curtains, the silt curtains deployed for the 3RS Project are demonstrated to achieve a higher performance with respect to SS containment, thereby providing a greater level of protection to water sensitive receivers located outside the project boundary.

4 Conclusion

In accordance with the requirements specified in the SCDP, the pilot test was conducted on the floating 'Type III' silt curtain proposed for marine filling works. Silt curtain efficiency testing was conducted on 2 to 4 June 2017. The testing results have demonstrated that the tested silt curtain is able to achieve an efficiency greater than the 61% silt curtain efficiency as assumed in the approved EIA report for the 3RS Project.

With deployment of the enhanced silt curtains as specified in the SCDP, there will be a greater level of protection to water sensitive receivers located outside the project boundary compared to the EIA assumptions. Hence no further recommendations are required.

Appendices

A.	Record of Diver Inspections	9
В.	Calibration Certificates	10
C.	Pilot Test Photographs	11
D.	Pilot Test Results	12

A. Record of Diver Inspections



Hong Kong International Airport Three Runway System Contract 3206 - Reclamation Works



Diver Inspection Photo for Silt Curtain (Pilot Test) on 2017-05-31



The silt curtain condition is *satisfactory/-unsatisfactory/-damaged according to the diver inspection.

* Delete as appropriate

Expansion of Hong Kong International Airport into a Three-Runway System



Diver Inspection Checklist for Silt Curtains

Contract No.:_	3206
Date: 31	(5(2017))
Weather:	Flhe

Inspection Items	Result	If Unsatisfactory, provide details on the following				
		Coordinate / Locations of Affected Section(s)	Description of Unsatisfactory Item	Proposed Action	Date of Completion of Action	Confirmed / Completed By (name and signature)
Geotextile						
Curtain remains intact and without gap	Satisfactory					
Curtain in upright position	Satisfactory					
	□Unsatisfactory					
Curtain has no loose / flapping parts	⊠Satisfactory					
Curtain is securely attached at joints	Satisfactory					

Expansion of Hong Kong International Airport into a Three-Runway System



Inspection Items	Result	If Unsatisfactory	, provide details c	on the following		
		Coordinate / Locations of Affected Section(s)	Description of Unsatisfactory Item	Proposed Action	Date of Completion of Action	Confirmed / Completed By (name and signature)
Curtain fittings (e.g. chains, bands, plates, joint connectors etc.) are intact and in	Satisfactory					
	□Unsatisfactory					
Curtain extends to within 30m from seabed level	Satisfactory					
/ Y						
Curtain hem is not weighted down by sediment deposition	Satisfactory					
Ancillary Components						A State State
Anchors are undamaged and positions are correct	Satisfactory					
Anchor lines are properly attached to the buoys / connectors of the silt curtain	Satisfactory					
	Unsatisfactory					
No parts are detached from the silt curtain system	Satisfactory					
	□Unsatisfactory					

Checked By:_

22



Hong Kong International Airport Three Runway System Contract 3206 – Reclamation Works



Diver Inspection Photo for Silt Curtain (Pilot Test) on 2017-06-02



The silt curtain condition is *satisfactory/-unsatisfactory/ damaged according to the diver inspection.

* Delete as appropriate

Expansion of Hong Kong International Airport into a Three-Runway System Silt Curtain Deployment Plan



Diver Inspection Checklist for Silt Curtains

Contract No.: 3206Date: 2/6/2017Weather: Fline

Inspection Items	Result	If Unsatisfactory, provide details on the following				
		Coordinate / Locations of Affected Section(s)	Description of Unsatisfactory Item	Proposed Action	Date of Completion of Action	Confirmed / Completed By (name and signature)
Geotextile	CHENNESS AND			and the second second		
Curtain remains intact and without gap	✓Satisfactory					
Curtain in upright position	Satisfactory					
Curtain has no loose / flapping parts	E Satisfactory	Middle Section of floater	Loose	Repair completely before starting	216/2017	20
	Unsatisfactory			ot test		
Curtain is securely attached at joints	Satisfactory					

Expansion of Hong Kong International Airport into a Three-Runway System Sill Curtain Deployment Plan



Inspection Items	Result	If Unsatisfactory, provide details on the following				
		Coordinate / Locations of Affected Section(s)	Description of Unsatisfactory Item	Proposed Action	Date of Completion of Action	Confirmed / Completed By (name and signature)
Curtain fittings (e.g. chains, bands, plates, joint connectors etc.) are intact and in position	⊠Satisfactory					
1	Unsatisfactory					
Curtain extends to within 30m from seabed level	Satisfactory					
Curtain hem is not weighted down by sediment deposition	2 Satisfactory					
Ancillary Components			The second second			
Anchors are undamaged and positions are correct	2 Satisfactory					
			,			
Anchor lines are properly attached to the buoys / connectors of the silt curtain	Satisfactory					
	□Unsatisfactory					
No parts are detached from the silt curtain system	Satisfactory					

Checked By:_





Hong Kong International Airport Three Runway System Contract 3206 - Reclamation Works



Diver Inspection Photo for Silt Curtain (Pilot Test) on 2017-06-03



The silt curtain condition is *satisfactory/-unsatisfactory/-damaged according to the diver inspection.

* Delete as appropriate

Expansion of Hong Kong International Airport into a Three-Runway System Site Contain Deployment Plan



Diver Inspection Checklist for Silt Curtains

Contract No.: 3206 Date: 31612017 Flbe Weather:

Inspection Items	Result	If Unsatisfactory, provide details on the following				
		Coordinate / Locations of Affected Section(s)	Description of Unsatisfactory Item	Proposed Action	Date of Completion of Action	Confirmed / Completed By (name and signature)
Geotextile			1			1
Curtain remains intact and without gap	Satisfactory					
	Unsatisfactory					
Curtain in upright position	Satisfactory					
Curtain has no loose / flapping parts	Satisfactory	Middle Section of floater	[oose	Repair completely before starting of test	3/6/2017	22
Curtain is securely attached at joints	ÍSatisfactory					
	Unsatisfactory					

Expansion of Hong Kong International Airport into a Three-Runway System

1



Inspection Items	Result	If Unsatisfactory	, provide details o	on the following		
		Coordinate / Locations of Affected Section(s)	Description of Unsatisfactory Item	Proposed Action	Date of Completion of Action	Confirmed / Completed By (name and signature)
Curtain fittings (e.g. chains, bands, plates, joint connectors etc.) are intact and in	Satisfactory					
Curtain extends to within 30m from seabed level 30cm	Satisfactory					
12						
Curtain hem is not weighted down by sediment deposition	Satisfactory					
Ancillary Components			THE SHOL			
Anchors are undamaged and positions are correct	⊠Satisfactory			-		
Anchor lines are properly attached to the buoys / connectors of the silt curtain	ÉSatisfactory	,*				
No parts are detached from the silt curtain system						

Checked By:__

22



Hong Kong International Airport Three Runway System Contract 3206 - Reclamation Works Diver Inspection Photo for Silt Curtain (Pilot Test) on 2017-06-04



Silt Curtain for Pilot Test

The silt curtain condition is *satisfactory/-unsatisfactory/ damaged according to the diver inspection.

* Delete as appropriate

Expansion of Hong Kong International Airport into a Three-Runway System Silt Curtain Deployment Plan



-

Diver Inspection Checklist for Silt Curtains

Contract No.	3266
Date:	416/2017
Weather:	Fine

Inspection Items	Result	If Unsatisfactory, provide details on the following				
		Coordinate / Locations of Affected Section(s)	Description of Unsatisfactory Item	Proposed Action	Date of Completion of Action	Confirmed / Completed By (name and signature)
Geotextile			and the second second	and the second second		
Curtain remains intact and without gap	Satisfactory					
-	Unsatisfactory					
Curtain in upright position	Satisfactory					
Curtain has no loose / flapping parts	Satisfactory					
Curtain is securely attached at joints	Satisfactory					

Expansion of Hong Kong International Airport into a Three-Runway System Bit Studien Deologicent Plan



Inspection Items	Result	If Unsatisfactory	, provide details o	on the following		
		Coordinate / Locations of Affected Section(s)	Description of Unsatisfactory Item	Proposed Action	Date of Completion of Action	Confirmed / Completed By (name and signature)
Curtain fittings (e.g. chains, bands, plates, joint connectors etc.) are intact and in position	Satisfactory					
Curtain extends to within 30m from seabed level 30 cm	Satisfactory					
	Unsatisfactory					
Curtain hem is not weighted down by sediment deposition	2 Satisfactory					
	□Unsatisfactory					
Ancillary Components						
Anchors are undamaged and positions are correct	Satisfactory					
	Unsatisfactory					
Anchor lines are properly attached to the buoys / connectors of the silt curtain	☑Satisfactory					
	Unsatisfactory					
No parts are detached from the silt curtain system	Satisfactory					
	□Unsatisfactory					· .

Checked By:____

3

B. Calibration Certificates



ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd 11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1-3 Wing Yip Street Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong <u>T</u>+852 2610 1044 <u>E</u>+852 2610 2021

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT:	MR ALEXI BHANJA	WORK ORDER:	HK1713677
CLIENT:	SMEC ASIA LIMITED	AMENDMENT:	1
ADDRESS:	27/F, FORD GLORY PLAZA,	SUB-BATCH:	0
	37-39 WING HONG STREET,	LABORATORY:	HONG KONG
	CHEUNG SHA WAN,	DATE RECEIVED:	07/04/2017
	KOWLOON, HONG KONG	DATE OF ISSUE:	22/05/2017

<u>COMMENTS</u>

The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.

The "Tolerance Limit" quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The "Next Calibration Date" is recommended according to best practice principals as practised by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

Scope of Test:	Conductivity, Dissolved Oxygen, pH, Salinity, Temperature and Turbidity
Equipment Type:	Multifunctional Meter
Brand Name:	YSI
Model No.:	6920 V2
Serial No.:	0001C6A7
Equipment No.:	
Date of Calibration:	7 April, 2017

<u>NOTES</u>

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number. Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico Manager - Inorganics

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

Page 1 of 3

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

Work Order:	HK1713677	· · · · · ·	Λ
Sub-Batch: Date of Issue: Client:	0 22/05/2017 SMEC ASIA LIMITED		(ALS)
Description: Brand Name: Model No.: Serial No.: Equipment No.: Date of Calibration:	Multifunctional Meter YSI 6920 V2 0001C6A7 07 April, 2017	Date of next Calibration:	07 July, 2017
Parameters:			
Conductivity	Method Ref: APHA (21st edit	ion), 2510B	
	Expected Reading (uS/cm)	Displayed Reading (uS/cm)	Tolerance (%)
	146.9	152.3	+3.7
	6667	6582	-1.3
	12890	12763	-1.0
	58670	57582	-1.9
		Tolerance Limit (%)	±10.0
Dissolved Oxygen	Method Ref: APHA (21st edit	ion), 4500O: G	
	Expected Reading (mg/L)	Displayed Reading (mg/L)	Tolerance (mg/L)
	1.60	1.53	-0.07
	4.52	4.60	+0.08
	8.28	8.38	+0.10
		Tolerance Limit (mg/L)	±0.20
nH Value	Method Ref [.] APHA 21st Ed. 4	500H·B	
pri fulue	Expected Reading (pH Unit)	Displayed Reading (pH Unit)	Tolerance (pH unit)
	4.0	3 03	-0.07
	7.0	7.16	+0.16
	10.0	9.92	-0.08
		Tolerance Limit (pH unit)	±0.20
Salinity	Method Ref: APHA (21st edit	ion), 2520B	
,	Expected Reading (ppt)	Displayed Reading (ppt)	Tolerance (%)
	0	0	
	10	9.86	-1.4
	20	20.27	+1.4
	30	29.80	-0.7
		Tolerance Limit (%)	±10.0
Demonster	"Displayed Reading" areas at a		/ sheeking reasedless
Kemark:	of equipment precision or signific	ant figures.	

Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico Manager - Inorganics

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

Work Order: Amendment: Sub-Batch: Date of Issue: Client:	HK1713677 1 0 22/05/2017 SMEC ASIA LIMITED		ALS
Description: Brand Name: Model No.: Serial No.: Equipment No.:	Multifunctional Meter YSI 6920 V2 0001C6A7 		
Date of Calibration: Parameters:	07 April, 2017	Date of next Calibration:	07 July, 2017
Temperature	Mathod Raf. Section 6 of L	nternational Accreditation New 7ea	aland Technical

remperature

ernational Accreditation New Zealand Technical ition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure

inde No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.				
Expected Reading (°C)	Displayed Reading (°C)	Tolerance (°C)		
10.8	11.40	+0.6		
21.5	20.20	-1.3		
39.2	40.50	+1.3		
	Tolerance Limit (°C)	±2.0		

Turbidity

Method Ref APHA (21st edition) 21308

Nethod Kel. ATTA (21st cutton), 2150b				
Expected Reading (NTU)	Displayed Reading (NTU)	Tolerance (%)		
0	0.0			
4	3 7	-7 5		
40	41.4	+3.5		
80	83.4	+4.3		
400	426.3	+6.6		
800	858.6	+7.3		
		.10.0		
	Tolerance Limit (%)	±10.0		

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico Manager - Inorganics



ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd 11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1-3 Wing Yip Street Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong <u>T</u>+852 2610 1044 <u>F</u>+852 2610 2021

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT:	MR ALEXI BHANJA	WORK ORDER:	HK1713672
CLIENT:	SMEC ASIA LIMITED	AMENDMENT:	1
ADDRESS:	27/F, FORD GLORY PLAZA,	SUB-BATCH:	0
	37-39 WING HONG STREET,	LABORATORY:	HONG KONG
	CHEUNG SHA WAN,	DATE RECEIVED:	07/04/2017
	KOWLOON, HONG KONG	DATE OF ISSUE:	22/05/2017

<u>COMMENTS</u>

The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.

The "Tolerance Limit" quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The "Next Calibration Date" is recommended according to best practice principals as practised by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

Scope of Test:	Conductivity, Dissolved Oxygen, pH, Salinity, Temperature and Turbidity
Equipment Type:	Multifunctional Meter
Brand Name:	YSI
Model No.:	6920 V2
Serial No.:	00019CB2
Equipment No.:	
Date of Calibration:	7 April, 2017

NOTES

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number. Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico Manager - Inorganics

This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.

Page 1 of 3

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

Work Order: Amendment: Sub-Batch: Date of Issue: Client:	HK1713672 1 0 22/05/2017 SMEC ASIA LIMITED		(ALS)
Description: Brand Name: Model No.: Serial No.: Equipment No.: Date of Calibration:	Multifunctional Meter YSI 6920 V2 00019CB2 07 April, 2017	Date of next Calibration:	07 July, 2017
Parameters:			
Conductivity	Method Ref: APHA (21st edition	on), 2510B	
	Expected Reading (uS/cm)	Displayed Reading (uS/cm)	Tolerance (%)
	146.9 6667 12890 58670	148.3 6826 12983 57826	+1.0 +2.4 +0.7 -1.4
		Tolerance Limit (%)	±10.0
Dissolved Oxygen	Method Ref: APHA (21st edition	on), 4500O: G	
	Expected Reading (mg/L)	Displayed Reading (mg/L)	Tolerance (mg/L)
	1.60 4.52 8.28	1.71 4.65 8.12 Tolerance Limit (mg/L)	+0.11 +0.13 -0.16 ±0.20
nH Value	Method Ref: APHA 21st Ed. 45	SOOH-B	
privatac	Expected Reading (pH Unit)	Displayed Reading (pH Unit)	Tolerance (pH unit)
	4.0 7.0 10.0	3.92 7.06 9.96 Tolerance Limit (pH unit)	-0.08 +0.06 -0.04 ±0.20
Salinity	Method Ref: APHA (21st edition	on), 2520B	
	Expected Reading (ppt)	Displayed Reading (ppt)	Tolerance (%)
	0 10 20 30	0 9.80 18.56 28.85	 -2.0 -7.2 -3.8
		Tolerance Limit (%)	±10.0
Remark:	"Displayed Reading" presents the fi	igures shown on item under calibration	/ checking regardless

Court

Mr Chan Siu Ming^l, Vico Manager - Inorganics

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd ALS Environmental

of equipment precision or significant figures.

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

Work Order: Amendment: Sub-Batch: Date of Issue: Client:	HK1713672 1 0 22/05/2017 SMEC ASIA LIMITED		(ALS)
Description: Brand Name: Model No.: Serial No.: Equipment No.: Date of Calibration:	Multifunctional Meter YSI 6920 V2 00019CB2 07 April, 2017	Date of next Calibration:	07 July, 2017
Parameters:			
Temperature	Method Ref: Section 6 of Inter Guide No. 3 Second edition M Expected Reading (°C)	national Accreditation New Zeala arch 2008: Working Thermomete Displayed Reading (°C)	nd Technical r Calibration Procedure. Tolerance (°C)
	10.8 21.5 39.2	11.60 20.80 41.10 Tolerance Limit (°C)	+0.8 -0.7 +1.9 ±2.0
Turbidity	Method Ref: APHA (21st edition	on), 2130B	Tolorongo (0/)

Methou Kel. AFIIA (213) Eulin	011), 21300	
Expected Reading (NTU)	Displayed Reading (NTU)	Tolerance (%)
0	0.0	
4	3.8	-5.0
40	42.8	+7.0
80	85.2	+6.5
400	433.4	+8.3
800	838.4	+4.8
	Tolerance Limit (%)	±10.0

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico Manager - Inorganics

C. Pilot Test Photographs

Photo 1: Deployed section of silt curtain for pilot test



Photo 2: Marine filling activity for pilot test



Photo 3: Sea condition inside the silt curtain during the pilot test



Photo 4: Water quality sampling during the pilot test



D. Pilot Test Results

Baseline Monitoring Results (Ebb and Flood tide)

<th< th=""><th></th><th colspan="13">Inside Marine Works Area (Station A1 to A3)</th></th<>		Inside Marine Works Area (Station A1 to A3)																
Indicit Conditio Conditio Conditio Series Image Image <td></td> <td></td> <td>Weather</td> <td>Sea</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Depth</td> <td>Cur</td> <td>rent</td> <td>Turbidity</td> <td>SS</td> <td>Average</td> <td>SS (mg/L)</td>			Weather	Sea					Depth	Cur	rent	Turbidity	SS	Average	SS (mg/L)			
Image: border is an ima	Tide	Station	Condition	Condition	Event	Depth	Time	Sample		Direction	Speed	(1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.						
Image: state in the						6 1			(m)	(degree)	(m/s)	(NTU)	(mg/L)	Depth	DA			
Alt According to the set of the set						Surface		2	1.0	222	0.30	3.2	6	5				
Image: Angle of the section						Middle		1				3.5	5					
Image: Arrow of the state of the					1st	Middle	16:35	2	3.8	234	0.22	3.6	4	5	6			
Al A Regenerate of a constraint of a regenerate regeneregneregnerate regenerate regenerate regenerate regenerate re						Bottom		1	7 5	107	0.10	6.2	6	7				
Image: A control of		Δ1	Cloudy	Moderate		Bottom		2	7.5	157	0.19	6.2	7	,				
1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 +		~1	cioudy	would are		Surface		1	1.0	266	0.28	2.8	4	5				
						Surface		2			0.20	2.8	6	-				
31 M3 4 4 5 6 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>2nd</td> <td>Middle</td> <td>17:45</td> <td>1</td> <td>3.8</td> <td>267</td> <td>0.32</td> <td>3.6</td> <td>5</td> <td>5</td> <td>6</td>					2nd	Middle	17:45	1	3.8	267	0.32	3.6	5	5	6			
Image: state in the						Rottom		2				3.6	5		-			
31 May Beb A2 Couch A2 Add A2 Surface Add A3 Surface Add A3 Surface Adddf Surface						Bottom		2	7.6	205	0.07	5.2	8	9				
31 Mg AP AP AP Surface Mode: Bottom Control Control <thcontrol< th=""> <thcontrol< th=""> Cont</thcontrol<></thcontrol<>					Surface		1		220	0.40	3.1	5	-					
31 Mode bases 4.9 (1)						Surface		2	1.0	238	0.19	3.1	5	5				
31 May Bbb A A Moderate Bottom Moderate B					1ct	Middle	16:40	1	3.8	228	0.22	4.7	5	5	6			
31 My beam4466899112101010668991111010101010101010101011110					130	Middle	10.40	2	5.0	220	0.22	4.7	4	2	Ŭ			
31 May Ebb A2 Couve Ave Ave Ave Ave Ave Ave Ave Ave Ave A						Bottom		1	7.5	249	0.14	6.6	8	9				
indicinant indicin	31 May	A2	Cloudy	Moderate	-	Bottom		2				6.6	9					
Alight Harping Signapse Alight Harping	EDD					Surface		1	1.0	226	0.36	2.6	5	5				
Image: base in the section of the section o						Surrace		2				2.4	4					
A3 I					2nd	Middle	17:50	2	3.9	271	0.11	3.0	6	5	5			
Image: A constraint of						Bottom		1				6.1	6					
A3 Cloudy Moderate Surface Mode Surface Surface Mode 1 16:45 1 10 10 226 0.25 35 6 5 A3 Cloudy Moderate 16:45 1 3.8 2.8 0.20 52 6 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Bottom</td><td></td><td>2</td><td>7.7</td><td>209</td><td>0.13</td><td>6.1</td><td>5</td><td>6</td><td></td></td<>						Bottom		2	7.7	209	0.13	6.1	5	6				
A3 Cloudy Moderate Ist Moderate Moderate Moderate Ist Moderate Bottom I						Surface		1		226	0.20	3.5	6	-				
A3 43 53 63 53 65 66 66 66 Moder Moder Moder Moder 1 38 28 0.0 52 66 60 66						Surface		2	1.0	226	0.29	3.5	4	5				
A3 Cloudy Moderate Image:					1ct	Middle	16:45	1	3.8	238	0.20	5.2	6	6	6			
A3 Cloudy Modera Image: surface surf					1st	Middle	10.45	2	5.0	230	0.20	5.2	6	0	0			
A3 Cloudy here Moderate birth Battom Battom Surface Surface Surface birth Battom 2 A Cat A Cat						Bottom		1	7.6	261	0.14	5.2	6	7				
NameNa		A3	Cloudy	Moderate		Bottom	-	2				5.2	7					
1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 +			,			Surface		1	1.0	222	0.26	3.1	6	5				
Image: here in the section of the s						Surface		2				3.1	4					
Image Image <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2nd</td><td>Middle</td><td>17:55</td><td>2</td><td>3.8</td><td>208</td><td>0.13</td><td>3.8</td><td>5</td><td>6</td><td>6</td></th<>					2nd	Middle	17:55	2	3.8	208	0.13	3.8	5	6	6			
A1II <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Bottom</td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>5.8</td><td>6</td><td></td><td> </td></th<>						Bottom		1				5.8	6					
A1 Cloudy Moderate Surface Surface Middle Bottom 1 2 1.0 315 0.15 2.4 5 5 A1 Cloudy Moderate Bit Middle Bottom 09:35 1 4.0 344 0.19 3.3 4 5 5 1 1 2 4.0 344 0.19 3.3 4 6 1 1 2 4.0 344 0.19 3.3 4 6 1						Bottom		2	7.5	249	0.13	5.8	5	6				
$ {\rm Al} {\rm Al}$			Cloudy			Surface		1				2.4	5	-				
A1 Cloudy Image: An output index output						Surface		2	1.0	315	0.15	2.4	5	5				
Al Cloudy Moderate Middle Bottom Cloudy Moderate Middle Bottom Cloudy Middle Control Middle Control Cloudy Middle Control Middle Co				Moderate	1st	Middle	09:35	1	4.0	244	0.10	3.3	7	c	10			
A1 Cloudy Moderate Surface Middle Surface Surface Middle 1 2 7,9 325 0,12 37,7 19 19 1 2 7,9 325 0,12 37,7 18 19 1 2 1,0 19 0,09 29 2 3 3 1 2 4,0 21 0,01 5,4 4 4 4 1 2 4,0 21 0,01 5,4 3 4 1 1 0,0 22 4 4 4 4 1 1 1 1 0,20 22 4 4 1 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>Middle</td><td>2</td><td>4.0</td><td>344</td><td>0.19</td><td>3.3</td><td>4</td><td>0</td><td>10</td></td<>						Middle		2	4.0	344	0.19	3.3	4	0	10			
A1 Cloudy Moderate Bottom 2 0 0 37 18 0 0 Noderate Noderat						Bottom		1	7.9	325	0.12	3.7	19	19				
$ { \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $		A1				Bottom		2				3.7	18		4			
Name Survey intermediate Sur						Surface		1	1.0	19	0.09	2.9	2	3				
31 May Fload Amode A Amode Bottom Bottom 1:00 Bottom Bottom 1 2 4.0 21 0.21 5.4 4 5.4 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 3						Surrace		2				2.9	3					
31 May Flood A2 Cloudy Mode at Bottom Surface Surface Bottom 1 0.2 A3 3 4 31 May Flood A2 A 5 A 5 A 5 A A2 Cloudy Mode at A Surface Middle 1 3 0.23 Cloudy 22 A A Middle Bottom Middle C 09:40 1 3.9 1 0.21 2.2 A A Middle Flood Middle Bottom 09:40 1 3.9 1 0.21 2.9 5 A Bottom Cloudy Middle Middle Bottom 1 7.8 332 0.03 3.7 10 1 Middle Bottom 1 1.0 1.9 0.14 2.1 3					2nd	Middle	11:00	2	4.0	21	0.21	5.4	3	4				
31 May Flood Coudy Bottom Bottom 2 8.0 17 0.29 6.4 5 4 31 May Flood A2 Coudy Surface Middle Bottom 00.0 311 0.20 2.2 4 4 Middle Bottom 09.00 1 0.2 2.9 5 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Bottom</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>6.3</td> <td>3</td> <td></td> <td>1 </td>						Bottom		1				6.3	3		1			
31 May Fload A2 Cloudy Moderate Midel Notestandown Surface Surface Bottom 1 2 1.0 311 0.0 22 4 2.2 4 4 4 4 31 May Fload A2 A						Bottom		2	8.0	17	0.29	6.4	5	4				
31 May Flood A2 Cloudy Moderate Middle Bottom Surface Middle Bottom 09:40 1 2 3.0 1 2 0.21 2.2 4 4 1 Middle Bottom 09:40 1 3.9 1 0.21 2.9 5 2.9 4 1 1 Bottom 1 2 7.8 332 0.03 3.7 1.0 1 1 2 7.8 332 0.03 3.7 1.0 1 3 3 1 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Surface</td><td></td><td>1</td><td>1.0</td><td>311</td><td>0.20</td><td>2.2</td><td>4</td><td>4</td><td></td></t<>						Surface		1	1.0	311	0.20	2.2	4	4				
31 May Flood A.2 Coudy Coudy Flood Image Flood						Surface		2	1.0	511	0.20	2.2	4	4	1			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					1st	Middle	09:40	1	3.9	1	0.21	2.9	5	5	7			
31 May Flood A2 Cloudy Image: Surface Network Moderate Surface Middle Bottom 1 2 7.8 332 0.03 3.7 12 3.7 10 1 Bottom 2 7.8 332 0.03 3.7 10 1 1 Surface Middle Surface Middle 1 2 1.0 19 0.14 2.1 3 3 1 Middle 1 2 4.0 21 0.29 4.3 6 5 1 Middle 1 4.0 21 0.07 4.4 4 6 1 7.9 1.7 0.27 4.4 4 5 6 1 800m 2 7.9 1.7 0.27 4.4 4 6 7 1 Middle 10 1.0 1.4 0.07 2.4 4 4 6 1 1 Middle 10 2 4.0 10 0.21 4.0 2						Middle		2				2.9	4					
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	21 Mar					Bottom		1	7.8	332	0.03	3.7	12	11				
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Flood	A2	Cloudy	Moderate		Surface		2				3./	3		<u> </u>			
$ A3 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	11000					Surface		2	1.0	19	0.14	2.1	3	3				
A3 Cloudy Moderate 2nd Bottom 11:03 Bottom 2 2 4.0 21 0.29 12 5 5 5 A3 Cloudy Moderate 11:03 2 4.0 21 0.29 4.4 4 5 5 5 A3 Cloudy Middle 1 2 7.9 17 0.27 4.4 6 7 Middle 09:45 1 1.0 14 0.07 2.4 5 5 Middle 09:45 1 2 4.0 10 0.21 3.9 5 6 Bottom 2 4.0 10 0.21 3.9 5 6 Middle 10:0 2 8.0 29 0.10 5.0 7 8 Middle 11:08 1 3.9 29 0.28 3.6 8 7 Middle 11:08 1 3.9 29 0.28 3.6 <						Middle		1				4.3	6		1 .			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					2nd	Middle	11:03	2	4.0	21	0.29	4.4	4	5	5			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$						Bottom		1	7.0	17	0.27	4.4	6	7	1			
$ A3 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $						Bottom		2	7.9	1/	0.27	4.3	7					
$ A3 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $						Surface		1	1.0	14	0.07	2.4	5	5	7			
$ A3 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $						Surface		2	1.0		0.07	2.4	4					
$ A3 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $					1st	Middle	09:45	1	4.0	10	0.21	3.9	5	6	6			
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$						Middle		2	-	-		4.0	6					
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$						Bottom		1	8.0	29	0.10	5.2	8	8				
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		A3	Cloudy	Moderate		Surface		2				5.0	/		<u> </u>			
Image: state						Surface		2	1.0	4	0.20	2.4	4	4				
2nd 11:08 2 3.9 29 0.28 3.8 6 7 6 Bottom 1 7.8 25 0.36 4.6 6 6 Bottom 2 7.8 25 0.36 4.4 6 6						Middle		1				3.6	8					
Bottom 1 7.8 25 0.36 4.6 6 Bottom 2 7.8 25 0.36 4.4 6 6					2nd	Middle	11:08	11:08	11:08	11:08	2	3.9	29	0.28	3.8	6	7	6
Bottom 2 7.8 25 0.36 4.4 6 6					Bottom		1	7.0	25	0.20	4.6	6	C	1				
						Bottom		2	7.8	25	0.36	4.4	6	- 6				

					Outside M	Marine Wo	orks Area (S	tation B1 t	o B3)																							
Tide Station Weather			Sea					Depth	Cur	rent	Turbidity	SS	Average	SS (mg/L)																		
lide	Station	Condition	Condition	Event	Depth	lime	Sample	(m)	Direction (degree)	Speed (m/s)	(NTU)	(mg/L)	Denth	 DA																		
					Surface		1	(11)	(uegree)	(11/5)	4.1	(mg/L) 5	Deptil	DA																		
					Surface		2	1.0	261	0.33	4.1	5	5																			
				1st	Middle	16:35	1	3.9	244	0.26	5.7	5	5	6																		
					Bottom		2				5.7	5																				
		Clauder	Madaata		Bottom		2	7.8	282	0.22	8.3	8	7																			
	BI	Cloudy	Moderate		Surface		1	10	219	0.31	2.9	3	3																			
					Surface		2	1.0	215	0.51	2.8	3	3																			
				2nd	Middle	17:45	2	4.3	271	0.24	5.6	5	6	5																		
					Bottom		1	0.5	200	0.46	6.3	4	-	1																		
					Bottom		2	6.5	208	0.16	6.3	5	2																			
					Surface		1	1.0	222	0.30	4.7	4	4																			
					Middle		1				4.7	4		1																		
				1st	Middle	16:40	2	4.4	239	0.22	6.7	3	4	5																		
					Bottom		1	8.8	207	0.28	9.1	7	7	1																		
31 May	B2	Cloudy	Moderate		Bottom		2			0.20	9.1	6	-																			
EDD					Surface		2	1.0	241	0.35	3.6	4	4																			
				2nd	Middle	17.50	1	4.2	208	0.16	5.1	5	5	5																		
				2110	Middle	17.50	2	4.2	200	0.10	5.1	4	5	5																		
					Bottom		1	8.4	167	0.10	5.6	5	6																			
					Surface		1				3.6	5																				
					Surface		2	1.0	227	0.27	3.6	6	6																			
				1st	Middle	16:45	1	4.1	241	0.22	4.5	6	6	7																		
					Bottom		2				4.6	6		-																		
		Cloudy			Bottom		2	8.2	198	0.15	7.0	7	8																			
	B3		woderate		Surface		1	1.0	231	0.26	4.3	4	4																			
					Surface		2				4.3	4	-	4																		
				2nd	Middle	17:55	2	4.1	220	0.20	6.0	5	6	6																		
					Bottom		1	0.1	100	0.11	7.4	6	7																			
					Bottom	09:35	2	0.1	155	0.11	6.9	7																				
					Surface		1	1.0	314	0.21	3.7	4	4																			
				1.4	Middle		1	2.0	10	0.10	4.4	6	6																			
				151	Middle		2	3.9	10	0.16	4.4	6	0	5																		
					Bottom		1	7.8	31	0.11	4.3	6	6																			
	B1	Cloudy	Moderate		Surface		1				4.5	<2																				
					Surface		2	1.0	355	0.19	3.2	<2	<2																			
				2nd	Middle	11:00	1	3.9	19	0.27	5.0	3	3	4																		
					Middle		2				5.0	2		1																		
					Bottom		2	7.8	32	0.27	9.5	4	4																			
					Surface		1	1.0	298	0.24	3.3	<2	<2																			
					Surface		2				3.3	<2		-																		
				1st	Middle	09:40	2	3.9	5	0.15	4.3	3	4	4																		
					Bottom		1	7.8	8	0.07	4.5	3	3	1																		
31 May	B2	Cloudy	Moderate		Bottom		2	7.0	0	0.07	4.5	3	5																			
FIOOD					Surface		1	1.0	360	0.20	4.1	4	4																			
				2	Middle	11.02	1	4.0	10	0.20	5.9	5	-	6																		
				2110	Middle	11:03	2	4.0	10	0.30	5.9	4	2	0																		
					Bottom		1	7.9	26	0.18	6.4	9	8																			
					Surface		1	4.0	202		3.5	<2																				
					Surface	1	2	1.0	292	0.24	3.6	<2	<2	l																		
				1st	Middle	09:45	1	3.9	17	0.15	4.2	4	3	3																		
					Bottom		2				4.2	2		1																		
	82	Claurter	Moderati		Bottom	1	2	7.7	36	0.07	4.7	3	3																			
	63	ciouay	wouerate		Surface		1	1.0	2	0.28	3.1	3	3																			
					Surface		2				3.5	3		4																		
				2nd	Middle	11:08	11:08	11:08	11:08	11:08	2	3.9	22	0.32	5.1	3	4	4														
					Middle Bottom Bottom						1	-	-		-		: 1	n	: 1	1	_	1		1	1					1	77	23
							2	1.1	2.5	0.20	7.2	6	5																			

Pilot Test - Impact Ebb Tide

			<i>6</i>		inside r	Marine wo	rks Area (St	ation A1 to	Cur	rent	W 4145			
Day	Station	Condition	Sea Condition	Event	Depth	Time	Sample	Depth	Direction	Speed	Turbidity	SS	Average	SS (mg/L)
					Surface		1	(m)	(degree)	(m/s)	(NTU) 4.9	(mg/L) 30	Depth	DA
					Surface		2	1.0	244	0.33	4.9	35	33	
				1st	Middle	08:30	2	4.1	241	0.16	8.0	34	29	31
					Bottom	1	1	81	208	0.11	114.8	36	32	
	A1	Cloudy	Rough		Bottom		2				92.6	28		
					Surface		2	1.0	261	0.32	17.0	39	47	
				2nd	Middle	09:41	1	4.0	220	0.32	21.1	32	43	44
					Bottom		2				20.5	53		
					Bottom		2	8.0	220	0.18	54.5	25	41	
					Surface		1	1.0	241	0.29	5.0	19	21	
					Middle		1				3.6	167		
				1st	Middle	08:34	2	4.0	208	0.16	3.5	45	106	60
					Bottom		1	8.0	198	0.14	95.3	50	52	
(2 June)	A2	Cloudy	Rough		Surface		1	10	266	0.38	4.9	51		
					Surface		2	1.0	200	0.28	4.9	59	33	
				2nd	Middle	09:44	2	3.9	214	0.14	40.0	84	78	49
					Bottom	1	1	7.8	200	0.11	72.2	11	15	
					Bottom		2				89.1	18		
					Surface		2	1.0	233	0.32	6.4	36	36	
				1st	Middle	08:38	1	4.0	217	0.15	3.6	36	28	48
					Rottom		2				3.5	20		
	42	Claudu	Baush		Bottom		2	7.9	200	0.12	50.4	66	80	
	PI-5	cloudy	NOURI		Surface	-	1	1.0	249	0.35	4.5	45	56	
					Middle		2		a	A	4.5	67 164		
				2nd	Middle	09:47	2	4.0	216	0.18	47.5	85	125	91
		1	1		Bottom	-	1	8.0	205	0.11	83.7	116	92	
					Surface		1	10	261	0.20	38.4	46	46	
		1	1		Surface	1	2	1.0	251	u.29	38.4	45	46	
				1st	Middle	09:15	2	3.8	224	0.20	90.3 93.4	95 91	93	82
		1	1		Bottom	1	1	75	208	0.14	143.7	100	106	1
	A1	Cloudy	Moderate		Bottom		2		100	0.14	133.0	111	100	
					Surface		2	1.0	254	0.27	20.0	37	35	
				2nd	Middle	10:20	1	3.8	216	0.16	41.3	23	23	30
					Middle		2				42.9	23		50
					Bottom		2	7.5	227	0.11	52.1	25	32	
					Surface		1	1.0	243	0.25	37.6	35	38	
				1st	Middle		1				36.4 54.8	39		
					Middle	09:19	2	3.8	223	0.17	54.7	44	42	95
2					Bottom		1	7.5	197	0.13	84.8	205	206	
(3 June)	A2	Cloudy	Moderate		Surface		1		220	0.00	29.6	207		
					Surface	1	2	1.0	220	0.30	29.6	22	22	
				2nd	Middle	10:24	2	3.8	219	0.21	34.2	18	21	29
					Bottom		1	75	199	0.17	40.1	43	44	1
					Bottom		2				40.7	44		
					Surface		2	1.0	243	0.32	93.6	33	40	
				1st	Middle	09:23	1	3.8	231	0.18	42.3	56	56	93
					Rottom		2				42.5	56		
	42	Cloudy	Moderate		Bottom		2	7.5	199	0.12	297.7	195	184	
	~	cloudy	moderate		Surface		1	1.0	255	0.26	24.3	38	40	
					Middle	10.00	1		24.0	0.40	25.2	34		~
		1	1	∠na	Middle	10:28	2	3.8	210	0.10	25.3	40	5/	31
		1	1		Bottom	1	2	7.5	204	0.10	22.2	16 15	16	
					Surface		1	10	253	0,78	70.7	80	82	
		1	1		Surface	1	2				72.1	85		1
				1st	Middle	09:45	2	3.8	216	0.14	53.9	42	44	74
					Bottom	1	1	7.5	211	0.11	48.4	107	94	1
	A1	Cloudy	Moderate		Bottom		2		L		48.4	80 42		
					Surface	1	2	1.0	250	0.26	103.4	76	59	
				2nd	Middle	10:45	1	3.8	243	0.20	95.5	233	242	122
					Bottom	1	1	76	221	0.12	94.3 87.4	250		1
					Bottom		2	1.5	221	0.12	87.6	55	DD	
		1	1		Surface	1	2	1.0	256	0.28	91.4 91.2	74	90	
				1++	Middle	09-40	1	3.0	221	0.15	91.2	205	122	00
		1	1	*21	Middle	0.5.45	2	3.0	*31	0.13	90.1	61	*22	33
3					Bottom	1	2	7.5	235	0.13	85.9	71	73	
(4 June)	A2	Cloudy	Moderate		Surface		1	1.0	247	0.27	114.2	151	155	
					Surface	1	2		-		114.3	159		{
				2nd	Middle	10:49	2	3.8	201	0.22	101.9	49	43	80
		1	1		Bottom	1	1	7.5	230	0.16	70.1	42	42	
					Bottom		2	-			70.1	42		
		1	1		Surface	1	2	1.0	257	0.29	76.2	143	125	
				1st	Middle	09:53	1	3.8	238	0.23	62.1	135	142	112
		1	1		Bottom		2		<u> </u>		62.1 54.1	149		1
	β 3	Cloudy	Moderate		Bottom	1	2	7.5	202	0.13	54.1	64	68	
	~	courry			Surface	-	1	1.0	249	0.27	84.8	31	33	
	1	1		Middle	10.52	1	2.0		0.00	80.4	34 79			
			Znd	Middle	10:53	2	3.8	222	0.22	81.2	102	91	50	
					Bottom	1	1	7.5	219	0.20	63.5	25	26	
	I	1	I	l	Socioin	l	4	I	1		04.0	41	I	

	r				Outside	Marine W	orks Area (S	tation B1 to	o B3)					-
Dav	Station	Weather	Sea	Event	Depth	Time	Sample	Depth	Cur	rent	Turbidity	SS	Average	SS (mg/L)
buy	Junion	Condition	Condition	LYCIN	Depth	Time	Jumple	(m)	(degree)	(m/s)	(NTU)	(mg/L)	Depth	DA
					Surface		1	1.0	286	0.34	8.2	6	8	
					Surface		2				8.3	9		
				1st	Middle	08:30	2	3.9	266	0.29	7.3	8	8	8
					Bottom		1	7.8	267	0.16	7.6	9	9	
	B1	Cloudy	Rough		Surface		2				7.7	8		
					Surface		2	1.0	283	0.33	9.7	13	11	
				2nd	Middle	09:41	1	4.1	246	0.30	12.2	14	13	16
					Middle		2				12.6	11		
					Bottom		2	8.1	250	0.14	15.4	28	23	
					Surface		1	1.0	277	0.34	6.8	6	7	
					Surface		2				6.8	8		
				1st	Middle	08:34	2	4.0	267	0.27	7.3	7	7	9
					Bottom		1		227	0.16	8.4	12	12	
1	B2	Cloudy	Rough		Bottom		2	8.0	237	0.10	8.3	11	12	6
(2 June)					Surface		1	1.0	264	0.32	6.6	6	6	
					Middle		1			0.05	6.6	10		
				2nd	Middle	09:44	2	4.0	244	0.25	6.5	6	8	7
				Bottom		1	7.9	238	0.15	7.3	10	8		
					Surface		2				7.4	6		
					Surface		2	1.0	247	0.38	6.6	8	8	
				1++	Middle	08-38	1	4.0	240	0.16	6.8	10	0	
				151	Middle	06.56	2	4.0	240	0.10	6.8	8	9	°
					Bottom	1	2	8.0	187	0.20	7.2	8	8	
	B3	Cloudy	Rough		Surface		1	4.0	250	0.07	6.6	6		
	l	1			Surface	1	2	1.0	256	0.27	6.6	10	8	1
	l	1		2nd	Middle	09:47	1	3.9	216	0.18	6.7	8	9	9
	l	1			Bottom	1	2				5.7	9		
					Bottom	1	2	7.8	223	0.11	7.0	8	10	
	1				Surface		1	10	291	0.26	5.0	3	6	
	l	1			Surface	-	2				5.2	8		-
				1st	Middle	09:15	2	3.9	255	0.27	6.3	6	6	6
					Bottom		1	7.0	225	0.16	6.5	7	6	
	B1	Cloudy	Moderate		Bottom		2	7.0	255	0.10	6.4	4	0	
					Surface	-	1	1.0	294	0.40	4.5	7	7	
					Middle		1				5.5	6		_
				2nd	Middle	10:20	2	4.0	285	0.30	5.5	5	6	7
					Bottom		1	7.9	277	0.26	6.0	7	7	
					Surface		2				6.0	6 19		
					Surface		2	1.0	264	0.29	13.7	15	17	
				1st	Middle	09-19	1	4.0	244	0.24	25.8	18	16	15
		12 Cloudy			Middle		2				25.9	14		
2			Moderate		Bottom		2	8.0	251	0.21	9.3	13	12	
(3 June)	82		Moderate		Surface		1		220	0.00	4.7	5		
					Surface		2	1.0	278	0.20	4.7	6	0	
				2nd	Middle	10:24	1	3.9	290	0.34	5.4	5	6	6
					Bottom		1				5.8	5	-	
					Bottom		2	7.8	337	0.17	5.8	5	5	
					Surface		1	1.0	209	0.21	4.9	4	6	
					Surface		2				4.9	7		
				1st	Middle	09:23	2	3.9	260	0.29	5.7	9	9	8
					Bottom		1	77	235	0.14	7.7	12	9	
	B3	Cloudy	Moderate		Bottom		2				7.7	5		
					Surface		2	1.0	279	0.26	5.7	5	5	
				2nd	Middle	10-28	1	2.9	295	0.25	8.1	9	7	6
					Middle		2				8.2	5		1
	l	1			Bottom	1	2	7.7	295	0.24	12.6	4	6	
					Surface		1	1.0	260	0.28	3.4	<2	4	
					Surface	1	2	1.0	200	0.20	3.4	5		1
	l	1		1st	Middle	09:45	1	3.5	268	0.27	4.8	3	3	3
	l	1			Bottom	1	1	7.0	225	0.12	5.0	2		
	B1	Cloudy	Moderate		Bottom	1	2	7.0	236	U.12	5.0	3	3	
		,	tt		Surface	ł	1	1.0	271	0.21	4.9	3	4	
					Surface	ł	2				4.9	5		
	l	1		2nd	Middle	10:45	2	4.0	275	0.27	6.0	6	4	4
	l	1			Bottom	1	1	8.0	284	0.09	6.4	<2	4	
					Bottom		2				6.4	5		
					Surface	ł	2	1.0	261	0.33	4.0	3	3	
				1++	Middle	09-49	1	2.0	254	0.25	6.5	3	2	4
				121	Middle	05.45	2	3.9	234	0.25	6.5	3	3	*
2	l	1			Bottom	1	1	7.8	229	0.07	8.1	6	5	
(4 June)	B2	Cloudy	Moderate		Surface		1		277	0.7.1	4.6	3 <2		
	l	1			Surface	1	2	1.0	278	U.24	4.6	<2	2	
	l	1		2nd	Middle	10:49	1	4.0	279	0.23	6.5	8	6	4
	l	1			Bottom	1	1	-			6.7	</td <td></td> <td></td>		
					Bottom		2	7.9	306	0.10	6.7	4	3	
	1	1			Surface	1	1	1.0	278	0.25	3.1	4	5	
	l	1			Surface	1	2				3.1	5	-	
	l	1		1st	Middle	09:53	2	3.9	273	0.27	5.0	3	5	4
					Bottom	1	1	77	268	0.11	9.0	4	3	
	B3	Cloudy	Moderate	ļ	Bottom		2	***	200	v	9.0	2	-	
					Surface	1	2	1.0	279	0.24	4.8	5	4	
	l	1		2.1	Middle	10.52	1	2.0	270	0.20	6.5	4		
		1		znd	Middle	10:53	2	3.8	279	0.30	6.5	2	3 3	3
		1			Bottom	1	1	7.6	234	0.38	6.2	3	3	
					Souoin		4		l		0.2	3		1

Pilot Test - Impact Flood Tide

		Weather	Sea		inside	Marine wo	INS AIRS (SI	Depth	Cur	rent	Turbidity	66	Average	SS (mm/l)
Day	Station	Condition	Condition	Event	Depth	Time	Sample	(m)	Direction (degree)	Speed (m/t)	INTIN	33 (mn/l.)	Denth	33 (IIIg/L)
					Surface		1	1.0	15	0.27	4.0	6	6	20
					Surface		2	1.0	15	0.27	4.0	6	0	
				1st	Middle	11:40	2	3.9	5	0.13	4.0	10	8	6
					Bottom		1	7.7	304	0.11	4.8	5	5	
	A1	Cloudy	Rough		Surface		1	1.0	42	0.29	4.0	5	c	
					Surface		2	1.0	42	0.29	4.0	5	3	
				2nd	Middle	12:40	2	3.8	39	0.22	4.1	5	5	5
					Bottom		1	7.6	35	0.13	4.8	6	6	
					Surface		2		17	0.00	4.8	5		
					Surface	1	2	1.0	47	0.28	2.9	7	6	
				1st	Middle	11:44	2	3.9	60	0.15	3.6	5	6	6
					Bottom		1	77	69	0.11	4.2	5	6	
1 (2 lune)	A2	Cloudy	Rough		Bottom		2				4.1	7		
(,					Surface		2	1.0	35	0.27	3.9	6	6	
				2nd	Middle	12:44	1	4.0	49	0.16	4.3	3	4	5
					Bottom		1	80	47	0.13	4.3	5	6	
					Bottom		2				4.2	7	-	
					Surface		2	1.0	21	0.32	3.9	4	4	
				1st	Middle	11:48	1	4.1	8	0.16	4.7	4	5	4
					Bottom		1	0.1		0.22	4.6	4		
	A3	Cloudy	Rough		Bottom		2	0.1		0.22	4.6	4	-	
			-		Surface	1	2	1.0	25	0.39	3.3	4	5	
				2nd	Middle	12:48	1	3.9	26	0.24	4.6	6	7	6
					Bottom	1	2			a ···	4.6	7	-	
					Bottom		2	7.8	35	0.11	4.3	6	6	
					Surface Surface	1	2	1.0	339	0.29	6.5	5	5	
		l I	l I	1st	Middle	13:05	1	3.8	326	0.21	7.4	5	5	6
					Middle		2				7.4	4	-	
	A1	Cloudy	Moderate		Bottom		2	7.5	330	0.16	7.7	7	7	
	~	cloudy	moderate		Surface		1	1.0	349	0.32	6.1	7	5	
				2004	Middle	14.05	1	2.0	216	0.16	6.8	6		
				zna	Middle	14:05	2	3.8	315	0.16	6.8	4	5	5
					Bottom		2	7.5	310	0.11	9.0	7	6	
					Surface		1	1.0	344	0.31	6.5	4	4	
					Surface Middle		2				6.5 8.3	4		5
				151	Middle	13:09	2	3.8	34	0.14	8.4	4	4	5
2					Bottom		2	7.6	318	0.12	10.2	5	6	
(3 June)	A2	Cloudy	Moderate		Surface		1	1.0	27	0.35	6.3	5	5	
					Surface		2				6.3 10.7	4		
				2nd	Middle	14:09	2	3.9	18	0.11	10.8	6	6	6
					Bottom		1	7.7	345	0.10	17.2	6	7	
					Surface		1	10	358	0.28	6.5	2	2	
					Surface		2	1.0	550	0.20	6.5	2	-	
				1st	Middle	13:13	2	3.8	13	0.13	7.5	3	4	4
					Bottom		1	7.6	11	0.16	8.0	4	6	
	A3	Cloudy	Moderate		Surface		1	10	222	0.27	6.1	6	6	
					Surface		2	1.0	555	0.27	6.1	5	Ū	
				2nd	Middle	14:13	2	3.8	316	0.22	8.6	5	6	6
1					Bottom	-	1	7.5	18	0.16	19.4	5	6	
<u> </u>					Surface		1	1.0	250	0.20	7.2	7	6	
		l I	l I		Surface	-	2	1.0	222	0.29	7.2	5	0	
		l I	l I	1st	Middle	14:15	2	3.9	47	0.18	8.3 8.3	5	5	5
					Bottom	1	1	7.7	36	0.20	9.1	4	4	
	A1	Cloudy	Moderate		Surface		2	10	16	0.28	9.1	3 12		
					Surface	1	2	1.0	10	0.28	7.5	6	я	
		l I	l I	2nd	Middle	15:15	2	3.9	38	0.14	8.4 8.4	5	6	8
					Bottom	1	1	7.8	37	0.13	11.6	7	8	1
		l	l		Bottom		2				11.6 7 1	8		
					Surface	1	2	1.0	343	0.28	7.1	4	5	
		l I	l I	1st	Middle	14:19	2	3.9	330	0.13	8.5	6	6	5
					Bottom	1	1	7.8	1	0,10	8.5	5	4	1
3 (4 lune)	A2	Cloudy	Moderate		Bottom		2		-		8.5	3	<u> </u>	
(l I	l I		Surface	1	2	1.0	352	0.29	7.4	7	6	
				2nd	Middle Middle	15:19	1	4.0	306	0.24	8.5	5	5	5
		l I	l I		Bottom	1	1	7.0	211	0.20	9.4	5	c	1
					Bottom		2	1.9	511	0.20	9.4	4	5	
		l I	l I		Surface	1	2	1.0	354	0.28	7.0	20	17	
		l I	l I	1st	Middle	14:23	1	3.9	298	0.24	7.9	10	10	15
					Bottom		2				7.9	9 14		
	A3	Cloudy	Moderate		Bottom	1	2	7.7	17	0.13	9.9	20	17	
	A3 Clou				Surface Surface	1	2	1.0	2	0.27	7.0	4	4	
	l .	l .	2nd	Middle	2 15-22	1	30	16	0.14	8.0	4	c		
		l .	l .	2110	Middle	1.7.43	2	3.3	10	0.14	8.0	5	3	
L					Bottom		2	7.8	330	0.13	11.0	3	3	

					Outside	Marine W	orks Area (!	Station B1 t	to B3)					
Day	Station	Weather	Sea	Event	Depth	Time	Sample	Depth	Cur	rent Speed	Turbidity	SS	Average	SS (mg/L)
		Condition	Condition					(m)	(degree)	(m/s)	(NTU)	(mg/L)	Depth	DA
					Surface		2	1.0	349	0.41	7.2	5	5	
				1st	Middle	11:40	1	3.9	347	0.33	7.2	4 c	5	5
					Bottom		1	7.7	12	0.21	7.3	5	5	t
	B1	Cloudy	Rough		Bottom		2				7.3	5	_	
					Surface		2	1.0	351	0.45	8.7	7	7	ļ
				2nd	Middle	12:40	2	3.9	29	0.35	9.0	6	6	7
					Bottom		1	7.8	334	0.22	9.6	8	8	I
					Surface		1	1.0	251	0.29	7.1	3	4	
					Surface		2				7.1	4		ł
				1st	Middle	11:44	2	4.1	310	0.22	8.3	4	5	5
1		<i>a.</i> 1	Bough		Bottom		2	8.1	16	0.19	7.9	6	6	
(2 June)	BZ	Cloudy	коugn		Surface		1	1.0	342	0.38	6.5	5	5	
				2nd	Middle	12-44	1	4.0	255	0.28	7.0	5	6	6
				2110	Middle		2	4.0	555	0.10	7.0	6		Ť
					Bottom		2	8.0	349	0.24	7.1	5	6	
					Surface		2	1.0	38	0.34	6.8	4	4	
				1st	Middle	11:48	1	4.0	352	0.33	7.9	4	5	6
					Bottom		1	8.0	12	0.29	10.2	10	10	ł
	B3	Cloudy	Rough		Bottom		2	0.0		0.25	10.2 5.3	9		
					Surface	1	2	1.0	44	0.21	5.4	4	4	ļ
				2nd	Middle Middle	12:48	2	4.0	43	0.19	5.5 5.5	5	5	5
					Bottom	1	1	8.0	301	0.41	5.7	5	5	1
					Surface		2 1	1.0	16	0.25	5.3 4.9	4		
					Surface		2	1.0	16	0.35	4.9	4	5	ł
				1st	Middle	13:05	2	4.0	42	0.33	6.5	4	3	4
					Bottom		2	8.0	44	0.28	9.9	4	4	
	81	Cloudy	Moderate		Surface		1	1.0	46	0.37	5.9	6	5	
					Middle		1			0.00	5.9	4		۰.
				2nd	Middle	14:05	2	4.0	50	0.29	10.4	5	5	5
					Bottom		2	7.9	90	0.25	12.5	5	6	
					Surface		2	1.0	91	0.31	5.1 5.1	3	3	
				1st	Middle	13:09	1	4.1	44	0.30	7.4	3	3	4
					Bottom		1	0.1	10	0.30	9.2	3		ł
2 (2 lune)	B2	Cloudy	Moderate		Bottom		2	0.1	10	0.25	8.2	5		
(J June)					Surface		2	1.0	92	0.33	4.9	4	5	
				2nd	Middle	14:09	2	3.9	48	0.41	5.9	4	4	4
					Bottom		1	7.8	18	0.30	7.5	4	4	t
					Surface	13:13	1	10	44	0.29	6.3	2	4	
				1st	Surface		2	1.0		0.25	6.3	5	-	ł
					Middle		2	4.0	49	0.36	7.9	3	5	4
		<i>a.</i> 1			Bottom		2	8.0	18	0.18	8.9 8.9	3	4	
	83	Cloudy	Moderate		Surface		1	1.0	100	0.22	6.2	5	5	
				2-4	Middle	14-13	1	4.0	40	0.25	8.2	4	5	
					Middle Bottom	1	2				8.4 8.1	5	-	† T
					Bottom		2	7.9	16	0.21	7.9	6	6	
					Surface		2	1.0	47	0.34	4.7	5	5	1
				1st	Middle	14:15	1	4.0	61	0.19	5.5	3	4	4
					Bottom	1	1	7.9	53	0.38	6.7	3	3	t
	B1	Cloudy	Moderate		Bottom Surface		2			0.00	6.7 5.3	3	-	
					Surface	1	2	1.0	49	0.28	5.3	2	2	1
				2nd	Middle	15:15	2	4.1	44	0.30	7.9	6	5	4
					Bottom		1	8.2	41	0.26	7.8	3	4	I
					Surface		1	1.0	79	0.38	5.0	2	2	
					Surface		2	1.0	15	0.50	5.0	2	-	ł
				1st	Middle	14:19	2	4.1	62	0.33	5.7	3	4	3
3		<i>a.</i> 1			Bottom		2	8.1	54	0.36	6.4	3	4	
(4 June)	BZ	Cloudy	Moderate		Surface		1	1.0	67	0.32	4.8	3	3	
				2nd	Middle	15-19	1	3.9	66	0.40	6.2	4	4	4
					Middle		2				6.2	3		- ·
					Bottom		2	7.8	10	0.18	7.6	4	5	
					Surface Surface		2	1.0	85	0.27	5.1	4	4	
				1st	Middle	14:23	1	4.1	46	0.22	6.3	2	3	4
					Bottom		2	9.1	44	0.19	6.3 6.1	4	4	t
	B3	Cloudy	Moderate		Bottom		2	0.1	44	0.19	6.1	5	4	I
					Surface	1	2	1.0	79	0.34	6.0	3	3	4
				2nd	Middle Middle	15:23	2	4.1	68	0.24	7.1	4	4	
					Bottom	1	1	8.2	31	0.22	6.7	6	5	t
			L		Bottom		2		L		6.7	3	<u> </u>	1